Project title:	Novel approaches for the management of leaf and bud nematodes ( <i>Aphelenchoides</i> spp.) in hardy nursery stock
Project number:	CP 104
Project leader:	Andy Evans, SRUC
Report:	Annual Report, September 2014
Previous report:	N/A
Key staff:	Idowu Rotifa
Location of project:	SRUC Edinburgh
Industry Representative:	Nick Reese, Jackdaws' Field Nursery, Horsham, West Sussex. RH13 6LL
Date project commenced:	14 October, 2013
Date project completed	30 September, 2016
(or expected completion date):	

## DISCLAIMER

AHDB, operating through its HDC division seeks to ensure that the information contained within this document is accurate at the time of printing. No warranty is given in respect thereof and, to the maximum extent permitted by law the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board accepts no liability for loss, damage or injury howsoever caused (including that caused by negligence) or suffered directly or indirectly in relation to information and opinions contained in or omitted from this document.

Copyright, Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2015. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including by photocopy or storage in any medium by electronic means) or any copy or adaptation stored, published or distributed (by physical, electronic or other means) without the prior permission in writing of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, other than by reproduction in an unmodified form for the sole purpose of use as an information resource when the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board or HDC is clearly acknowledged as the source, or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved.

AHDB (logo) is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board.

HDC is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, for use by its HDC division.

All other trademarks, logos and brand names contained in this publication are the trademarks of their respective holders. No rights are granted without the prior written permission of the relevant owners.

[The results and conclusions in this report are based on an investigation conducted over a one-year period. The conditions under which the experiments were carried out and the results have been reported in detail and with accuracy. However, because of the biological nature of the work it must be borne in mind that different circumstances and conditions could produce different results. Therefore, care must be taken with interpretation of the results, especially if they are used as the basis for commercial product recommendations.]

# **AUTHENTICATION**

We declare that this work was done under our supervision according to the procedures described herein and that the report represents a true and accurate record of the results obtained.

Idowu Rotifa	
PhD Student	
SRUC / University of Edinburgh	
Signature	Date
Dr Andy Evans	
Applied Practice Team Leader	
SRUC Edinburgh	
Signature	Date
Report authorised by:	
Report authorised by: [Name]	
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position]	
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation]	
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation] Signature	Date
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation] Signature	Date
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation] Signature	Date
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation] Signature [Name] [Position]	Date
Report authorised by: [Name] [Position] [Organisation] Signature [Name] [Position] [Organisation]	Date

# CONTENTS

Grower Summary	1
Headline	1
Background	1
Summary	2
Financial Benefits	3
Action Points	3

Ś	Science Section	4
	Introduction	4
	Materials and methods	4
	Results	6
	Discussion	8
	Conclusions	9
	Knowledge and Technology Transfer	9
	References	9
	Appendices	.11

# **GROWER SUMMARY**

## Headline

Research is on-going to identify novel and suitable approaches to manage leaf and bud nematodes (eelworms) in hardy nursery stock. These novel methods will potentially serve as alternatives to Vydate 10G.

## Background

Foliar nematodes, also called leaf and bud nematodes (LBN), *Aphelenchoides* spp. cause serious damage to many ornamental plants grown in greenhouses, nurseries, and in the landscape settings throughout the United States, Canada, and Europe. They are a significant foliar pest of hardy nursery stock plants (over 700 host species) whose feeding results in angular-shaped blotches on the leaves which are delineated by the veins and often accompanied by leaf distortion. In the UK, *Aphelenchoides ritzemabosi* and *A. fragariae* are the two main foliar nematode species of economic importance.

The infestation usually starts at the base of the lower leaves, where humidity is highest, and spreads upward. LBN cause brown to black, or chlorotic, vein-delineated angular lesions that can become necrotic. The lesion eventually turns blackish-brown and affected parts may shrivel. If buds or young leaves are infested, they may not develop properly and may become deformed. Flower development may also be affected. As ornamentals are sold for their aesthetic value, these plants are often unsaleable, making foliar nematode damage very costly for ornamental growers.

Cultural control methods are an important component of the management of LBN within integrated pest management (IPM) programmes. The most effective of these is a programme of high crop hygiene as foliar nematodes can survive for several years in infested dried leaf debris. Cultural control programmes should include the removal and destruction of infested plants and debris, avoiding replanting in contaminated land, sterilisation of pots and equipment, and if possible avoiding the use of overhead irrigation and misting systems which create ideal conditions for nematode infection.

LBN problems have become important because of the revocation and subsequent loss of systemic nematicides, increased nursery production of these vegetatively propagated plants and long distance movement of plants. A range of alternatives for the control and management of LBN have been evaluated previously, the results suggesting that Dynamec (abamectin) was found to be ineffective against LBN and it was proposed that Vydate 10G (oxamyl) was the most effective available product.

Vydate 10G has an extension of authorisation for minor use (EAMU) for use on protected ornamental plants. However, oxamyl is not compatible with IPM programmes. Its use also requires precautions for operator and environmental protection, with a re-entry time to treated glasshouses and a harvest interval. In addition, its continued availability is uncertain.

This project therefore, aims to develop new approaches for the management of these nematodes in hardy nursery stock by evaluating individually, and in combination, the efficacy of products derived from plant extracts and currently approved pesticides to reduce nematode infestation on plants. The project will also evaluate the application of products that act as elicitors of plant defences to determine whether they can confer levels of resistance to nematodes. These studies are being carried out in the laboratory, glasshouse and thereafter at grower's nurseries. For this project - (guided by advice from UK industry), the focus will be on Japanese anemone, Hosta and Weigela plants.

The overall aim of this study will be to develop new guidelines for the integrated management of foliar nematodes in hardy nursery stock utilising existing and novel methods.

### Summary

This research project aims to target stages of the routes of nematode invasion into plants, investigate the efficacy of some potential plant protection products and characterise the defence mechanisms induced by elicitors against nematodes.

Laboratory bioassays were conducted to evaluate contact mortality of *Aphelenchoides fragariae* using biological and chemical products including Movento, Jet 5, Vydate 10G, Cercobin and Dynamec and three experimental products. Results after 72 hours of exposure showed that HDCI 68, HDCI 70 and Dynamec had high significant mortality rates of foliar nematodes. This was followed by Movento, HDCI 69 and Vydate 10G with average mortality while Cercobin, Jet 5 and Control (Water) had less contact effect. This project therefore aims to utilise the results of the above laboratory bioassay in a glasshouse study in order to evaluate protection against LBN movement from the soil into plants.

The promising and potential products will be used either as contact or systemic form on plants to prevent nematode infection. This will be evaluated as soil and foliar treatments to assess efficacy.

In addition, the results from on-going tests of 'elicitors' will be incorporated as part of the integrated pest management approaches on foliar nematode control.

## **Financial Benefits**

At this stage in the project we are not yet at a stage to be able to give an accurate estimate of financial benefit to growers. However, the financial benefits will become clearer once data from glasshouse and nursery trials in Years 2 & 3 have been obtained.

## **Action Points**

It is too early to offer growers specific action points to achieve significant benefits for leaf and bud nematode management. The current best practice of crop hygiene, destruction of infested plants, sterilising pots and containers and minimising overhead irrigation and misting should be maintained by growers, with use of oxamyl where necessary.

# SCIENCE SECTION

### Introduction

Foliar nematodes (*Aphelenchoides* spp.), also called leaf and bud nematodes (LBN), are microscopic roundworms that live in leaf tissue and cause significant injury to many ornamental plants (Winslow, 1960). They are a significant foliar pest of hardy nursery stock plants (over 700 host species) whose feeding results in angular-shaped blotches on the leaves which are delineated by the veins and often accompanied by leaf distortion (Kohl, 2010 *et al*; Kohl, 2011). In the UK, *Aphelenchoides ritzemabosi* and *A. fragariae* are the two main foliar nematode species of economic importance.

In the past, chemical treatments such as aldicarb, diazinon, parathion and oxamyl have been used for effective management of foliar nematodes (Johnson & Grill, 1975). However, due to regulatory issues and environmental concerns, most of these chemicals are no longer available to growers today. Modern chemical control methods have variable results, depending on the plant being treated (Bennison, 2007; Young, 2000). Chemical treatments tested may be successful at killing nematodes in a water suspension, but then fail to control nematodes when applied to infected leaves (Jagdale & Grewal, 2002; Jagdale & Grewal, 2004).

Initially, an experiment was conducted to investigate the contact mortality of some currently available chemical and bio pesticide products on foliar nematodes, *Aphelenchoides* spp.

#### Materials and methods

A bioassay experiment was conducted in 108 (including control) 5cm plastic petri dishes to test the contact mortality of chemical and biological products (Table 1) on *Aphelenchoides* spp. at room temperature of 25°C. The experiment evaluated 8 products at 3 dose rates: full recommended dose (Level 1) and two lower dose rates (Level 2 and Level 3) depending on the product, with each level replicated 4 times. Water was used as the control with the same number of replicates (n=4).

#### 2.1 Materials

• Nematodes: The nematodes (Aphelenchoides spp) were isolated from infected evergreen fern (Woodwardia fimbrata). A. fragariae was identified by morphology

4

features and confirmed by molecular identification. Nematodes were extracted from infested leaf tissues. The leaves were cut into 1cm<sup>2</sup> pieces and soaked in tap water for 24h at room temperature. The nematodes that emerged from the leaf pieces were recovered using nested sieves of 20 mesh (850µm) and 500 mesh (25µm) and collected in a beaker. The suspension was left for 2 hours, and excess water was reduced on top of the suspension. Nematode concentration was adjusted to 200 mixed stage individuals per millilitre by counting with a microscope. The nematodes were used within 2-3 days for laboratory experiments.

 Pesticides: A list of biological and chemical pesticides was collected from Agrochemical Companies in the UK (see Table 1). No recommendation specific to Aphelenchoides is available for these pesticides (except oxamyl), therefore the products were prepared based on the recommendations made by the companies for the management of insects, mites and other pests.

 Table 1. Trade and chemical names, formulations and sources of pesticides used in this experiment

			Concentration level			
Product name	Active ingredients	Manufacturers	1*	2	3	
Movento	Spirotetramat	Bayer Cropscience	0.5L/600L/ha	0.4L/600L/ha	0.3L/600L/ha	
HDCI 69	biopesticide Peroxyacetic	n/a	50L/500L/ha	25L/500L/ha	12L/500L/ha	
Jet 5	acid	Certis	800ml/100L	650ml/100L	570ml/100L	
HDCI 69	biopesticide	n/a	743mg/L	550mg/L	350mg/L	
Vydate10G	Oxamyl	DuPont	55kg/ha	40kg/ha	10kg/ha	
HDCI 70	biopesticide	n/a	4ml:96ml	4ml:120ml	4ml:144ml	
Cercobin	Thiocyanate	Certis	1.1kg/500L/ha	900g/500L/ha	750g/500L/ha	
Dynamec	Abamectin	Syngenta	50ml/100L	25ml/100L	10ml/100L	

\*Please note that level 1 is the manufacturers recommended dosage for use against nematodes / other pests while 2 and 3 are reduced doses.

#### 2.2 Methods

An aliquot (4ml) of a solution of each treatment (in distilled water) was transferred into each petri dish and 4ml of a suspension containing 800 living nematodes was added to each dish to achieve a desired percentage active ingredient (a.i) level for each treatment. The control was set up with nematode water suspension used as above while ordinary distilled water was used instead of concentrations of chemical and biological products. The percentage nematode mortality was recorded at 24, 48 and 72h after exposure. At each observation, a thoroughly mixed 2ml sub-sample from each dish was transferred into a 5cm diameter dish containing 10ml of water and held at room temperature for 72h for the recovery of nematodes.

Numbers of live and dead nematodes were counted after concentrating the suspension to 3ml. Death was defined by the complete lack of movement even after prodding with the tip of a micropipette.

Data analysis: Arcsine-transformed values of mean mortality data from this study were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a General Linear Models Procedure (Minitab 15). Significant differences between treatments were determined with Tukey's multiple range test at P<0.05.

#### Results

Results below in (Table 2 & Figure 1) showed that at 72h, HDCI 68, HDCI 70 and Dynamec had high significant mortality rates (>75%) in direct contact with the nematodes above the other products tested.

Some of the other products like HDCI 69, Movento and Vydate 10G which had average contact mortality (Table 3) are known to have a systemic action within plants, therefore mortality could be gradual rather than immediate.

	Mortality (% Concentrat	Mortality (%) at 72h for 3 levels of Concentration			
Products	level 1	level 2	level 3		
Movento	8.8d	8.3de	7.4d		
HDCI 68	95.8a	83a	28.3b		
HDCI 69	33.8c	17.6cd	13.1cd		
Jet5	40.5c	32.6b	11.4cd		
Dynamec	94.4ab	78.8a	59.8a		
Cercobin	10d	6.9de	7.1d		
Vydate	32.6c	29.8bc	20.6bc		
HDCI 70	86.4b	74.1a	68a		
Water	4d	4.5e	3.9d		

Table 2. Effect of biological and chemical pesticides at 3 different levels (doses) of concentrations in water on Aphelenchoides fragariae after 72 hours exposure

Data are percentage mortality means of four replicates, and values in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey's multiple range test, P<0.05).



Figure 4. Contact mortality test of chemical and biological pesticides with three different levels of concentration on Aphelenchoides fragariae at 72h exposure in water. Error bars are standard error of the mean  $(\pm SE)$  of four replicates.

7

 Table 3.
 Mortality classification (%) for chemical and biological pesticides of 3 different

 levels of concentration on Aphelenchoides fragariae in water after 72h exposure

Percentage Mortality Classification at 72hours after exposure						
	Below average		Average		Above average	
	(<50%)		(50%)		(>50%)	
	Products	means	Products	means	Products	means
	Movento	8.8d	HDCI 69	33.8c	HDCI 68	95.8a
Levei 1	Cercobin	10d	Jet5	40.5c	Dynamec	94.4ab
•	Control(Wt)	4d	Vydate10G	32.6c	HDCI 70	86.4b
	Cercobin	6.9de	HDCI 069	17.6cd	HDCI 68	83a
2	Movento	8.3de	Jet5	32.6b	Dynamec	78.8a
-	Control(Wt)	4.5e	Vydate10G	29.8bc	HDCI 70	74.1a
	Movento	7.4d	Vydate10G	20.6bc	Dynamec	59.8a
	Cercobin	7.1d	HDCI 68	28.3b	HDCI 70	68a
Level 3	Jet5	11.4cd				
J	HDCI 69	13.1cd				
	Control(Wt)	3.9d				

Data are percentage mortality means of four replicates, and values in the same column followed by the same letter (on each level) are not significantly different (Tukey's multiple range test, *P*<0.05).

#### Discussion

The bioassay study results provide an indication of products which warrant further investigation as alternatives to Vydate 10G. From the results, some products which are currently used as disinfectants, bio-fumigants and insecticides showed considerable contact mortality rates on foliar nematodes.

There is a need to evaluate these products along with products known to act systemically like Vydate 10G, Movento and HDCI 69 in glasshouse studies on plants.

This preliminary test shows that the objective of this project is progressing, although the positive results on plants will finally determine their effectiveness.

#### Conclusions

- Promising products that have contact mortality against leaf and bud nematodes have been obtained through this bioassay experiment.
- Evaluation of elicitor products on Hosta, Weigela and Japanese anemone plants in the glasshouse are on-going and will be reported in the next annual report.
- The next study will evaluate the efficacy of contact materials from the above contact bioassay results in the glasshouse. This is to test their ability in preventing leaf nematode infection from soil.
- > Soil and foliar treatments of systemic products and elicitors to start December 2014
- > See Appendix 1 for future work plan and milestones.

## Knowledge and Technology Transfer

Description	Date
Presentation: 2014 Postgraduate Conference at SRUC	19-20/03/2014
Poster Presentation at HDC Annual Studentships Conference	16-17/09/14
Bio-fumigation International Conference – Newport UK	9-12/09/14

## References

Bennison, J. (2007). Evaluation of alternatives to aldicarb (Temik) for the control and management of leaf and bud nematodes. HNS 131 Final Report. Horticultural Development Company.

Jagdale, G.B. and Grewal, P.S. (2002). Identification of alternatives for the management of foliar nematodes in floriculture. *Pest Management Science*. 58: 451-458.

Jagdale, G.B. and Grewal, P. S. (2004). Effectiveness of a hot water drench for the control of foliar nematodes *Aphelenchoides fragariae* in floriculture. *Journal of Nematology*. 36:49-53.

Johnson, A.W. and Grill, D.L. (1975). Chemical control of foliar nematodes (*Aphelenchoides fragariae*) on 'Fluffy Ruffles' fern. Plant Disease Reporter. 59:772-774.

Kohl, L.M., Warfield, C.Y. and Benson, D.M. (2010). Population Dynamics and Dispersal of *Aphelenchoides fragariae* in Nursery-grown Lantana. *Journal of Nematology* 42(4): 332-341.

Kohl, L.M. (2011). Foliar Nematodes: A Summary of Biology and Control with a Compilation of Host Range. *Plant Health Progress*. November 2011.doi:10.1094/PHP-2011-1129-01-RV.

Winslow, R.D. (1960). Nematode ecology. *Nematology: Fundamentals and recent advances with emphasis on plant parasitic and soil forms*, edited by Sasser, J. N. and Jenkins, W. R. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.

Young, J. (2000). Investigations and development of new methods of control for bud and leaf nematodes in hardy nursery stock. HNS 86 Final Report. Horticultural Development Company.